	Case 1:13-cr-10048-FDS Document 241 Filed 01/07/16 Page 1 of 9	
	Case 1.10 of 10040 f BC Boodinent 241 f fled 01/07/10 f age 1 of 0	1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS	
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
5	Plaintiff,)	
6) No. 13-10048-FDS vs.	
7	KING BELIN,	
8	Defendant.)	
9		
10	BEFORE: THE HONORABLE F. DENNIS SAYLOR, IV	
11		
12	FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE	
13		
14		
15	John Joseph Moakley United States Courthouse	
16	Courtroom No. 2 One Courthouse Way	
17	Boston, MA 02210	
18	December 18, 2014	
19	4:10 p.m.	
20		
21		
22		
23	Valerie A. O'Hara	
24	Official Court Reporter John Joseph Moakley United States Courthouse	
25	One Courthouse Way, Room 3204 Boston, MA 02210	
	E-mail: vaohara@gmail.com	

1 PROCEEDINGS THE CLERK: All rise. Thank you. Please be seated. 2. Court is now in session in the matter of United States vs. 3 King Belin, Criminal Matter Number 13-10048. 4 Counsel, would you please identify yourself for the record. MR. WORTMANN: Your Honor, good afternoon, your Honor, 7 John Wortmann for the United States. 8 MR. GARRITY: Good afternoon, your Honor, Paul Garrity 9 04:10PM 10 for Mr. Belin. 11 THE COURT: Good afternoon. Mr. Belin is present. 12 This is I guess we'll call it the final final pretrial 1.3 conference in this case. We are on track to impanel on Monday 14 depending on what, if anything, happens with regard to the 1.5 issue of counsel, I think. Why don't we start with that. 16 have read the memorandum filed by Mr. Garrity. The government has also filed a motion in limine. 17 18 Mr. Garrity, how do you want to proceed? 19 MR. GARRITY: Judge, I think it would make sense maybe to address the status of counsel issue first. 04:11PM 20 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. WORTMANN: Do you want me to leave, your Honor? 23 THE COURT: All right. We'll do it ex parte, and I'll 24 seal that portion of the transcript pending Court Order. 25 MR. GARRITY: Thank you.

(SEALED TRANSCRIPT WAS HELD)

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Wortmann is back in the courtroom. Without getting into the details, I heard from Mr. Garrity and Mr. Belin, as a result of which I put Mr. Belin on the stand, put him under oath and had a colloquy with him in which in substance I had him waive what would otherwise be his Sixth Amendment right to certain factual defenses. Mr. Garrity corrected that he didn't have to prove any factual defenses, and so I recast it as suggesting reasonable doubt through certain factual lines of inquiry and argument.

I made a finding that he had waived those issues knowingly and voluntarily, but I am reserving final decision on the issue until tomorrow because there's one other -- there's something I want to think about, but I don't expect to reverse myself, I expect to go down this path and to impanel on Monday as scheduled.

I think what makes sense is for us to set up a brief conference even by telephone tomorrow. If I do reverse field, we'll bring Mr. Belin back in but I don't think we need him if I'm just going to affirm what I've decided to do.

MR. GARRITY: If it's convenient for the Court, I've got to be here tomorrow at 11:00 for a sentencing.

MR. WORTMANN: Unfortunately, your Honor, I have a suppression hearing starting at 9:00, which I think is going to take a good portion of the day. It may go past lunchtime, I

05:08РМ 10

2.

1.3

05:09PM 20

just don't know.

THE COURT: That's fine. Again, either I'm going to say I'm staying on this path, which will be quick, or I've decided I'm letting Mr. Garrity out of the case, in which case we need to do a whole bunch of different things, and we'll set a timetable for doing that.

I expect I'm going to keep doing this, but Mr. Garrity made some points that are causing me to think about this in a little different light, and I just want to make sure I know what I'm doing, and just so there's no mystery about it, I feel a little bit boxed in here.

The client doesn't want -- I'm going to call them factual defenses as shorthand -- the client doesn't want certain factual defenses to be presented, the attorney thinks that he needs to present those defenses to be effective or to effectively defend the client.

Mr. Garrity is the third lawyer appointed. It seems likely that a fourth or a fifth or sixth lawyer would take the same position that his first three lawyers have taken. Since a client can waive jury trial altogether and waive the right to counsel altogether, it seems to me as a matter of logic that a client could waive the right to have his attorney put on certain factual defenses, again, using that as a shorthand, and so I'm approaching it that way.

My concern is that if I simply let Mr. Garrity

05:10PM 10

2.

05:10PM 20

withdraw, Mr. Belin will be worse off representing himself, if
we appoint a fourth attorney, we're likely to wind up right
back where we were. Mr. Belin wants to go to trial. We're
supposed to go to trial quickly, and I can't think of any other
clear way out of this problem.

MR. WORTMANN: Your Honor, obviously, whatever
happened in here was not for me, and I completely understand

THE COURT: Even that is not clear to me to what extent you can have access to that. It's under seal for now and I'll deal with that at a later point.

that, but I would ask that -- I would look for some --

MR. WORTMANN: Judge, I'm not asking you to give it to me, but what I am asking is for some guidance on the motion in limine, which I think is a very serious one.

THE COURT: I'm going to deny the motion in limine because I don't think I can grant a government motion to foreclose a defense. I think, you know, as a practical matter we may wind up in the same place, but I'm going to deny the motion in limine.

MR. WORTMANN: So it's clear, your Honor, and if I can approach?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. WORTMANN: And I'm handing you up a case, your Honor, in which the First Circuit held that is entirely appropriate for the Court to preclude cross-examination when

16

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

05:11PM 10

17

18 19

05:12PM 20

21

22

23

24

1 there's no good faith basis for the question to be asked. know, with respect to the issue of the plant, when I was in 2. Court and Mr. Belin was under oath, he said he wants to assert 3 that, and it didn't happen, and I don't know anything clearer 4 than if there's no evidence, if there's nothing to indicate 6 that the police officers planted this gun on Mr. Belin when he specifically denied it under oath, I don't think that there's a 7 good faith basis for asking any questions suggesting that they 8 planted it, and if there's no evidentiary basis for it, there's 9 05:13PM 10 certainly no basis to argue it either in the opening or the 11 closing.

THE COURT: All right. The case you've handed me is U.S. vs. Ovalle-Marquez, O-v-a-l-l-e-M-a-r-q-u-e-z, 36 F.3d 212 (1st Cir. 1994.) That may well be true, Mr. Wortmann, and if so, it's another way of approaching what may be the same or similar issue, but I am -- well, I'm going to stand by my decision and deny the motion in limine.

MR. WORTMANN: Okay.

THE COURT: Let's see if there's any five-minute window in which the two lawyers and I are all available tomorrow. Other than from 10 to 11, I'm more or less free all day. When are you going to be free?

MR. WORTMANN: Your Honor, I've got the 9:00, and then I've got the 2:00, so if we could do it at 3:00.

THE COURT: We could squeeze it again. Mr. Garrity,

19

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

05:14PM 20

23

21

22

1 you're here at what time? MR. GARRITY: Eleven. 2. THE COURT: And I'll let you appear by phone. Again, 3 this is going to be a quick decision, either, yes, I'm staying 4 5 on this path, or, no, I'm not. It's not going to be argument I don't think because Mr. Belin would have to be here if it was 6 7 anything significant. MR. GARRITY: And I don't want to inconvenience 8 Mr. Wortmann, but would the Court be available before nine? 9 THE COURT: Yes. 05:14PM 10 MR. WORTMANN: I've got to get the computer, I've got 11 12 to get set up in the hearing, your Honor. If it's not too much 13 to ask, I'm happy to do it on the phone at 3:00. 14 MR. GARRITY: By phone at 3:00 then. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 3:00. Obviously, you can be here 16 if you wish, but I'll let you appear by phone, and otherwise 17 what we're going to do, assuming that I don't make a different 18 decision, we will reconvene Monday morning for jury 19 impanelment. 05:15PM 20 MR. WORTMANN: Okay. 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 22 THE CLERK: All rise. 23 (Whereupon, the hearing was adjourned at 5:15 p.m.) 24 25